REMARKS

Receipt of the Office Action of December 12, 2007 is gratefully acknowledged. Claims 15 - 28 are pending and were examined with the result that claims 15, 21 and 28 are objected to, and claims 15 - 28 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) by Seale.

In response, claims 21 - 23 have been cancelled and claims 15 and 28 amended to overcome the noted objection. To further define the invention over the art of record, claim 15 has also been amended to include the subject matter of claims 21 - 23. Amended claim 15 presents a claim which has not been examined by the examiner since the subject matter of claims 21 and 22 were both dependent from claim 15. Some of the positively recited structural elements of claim 15 as amended are not to be found in Seale so that Seale cannot anticipate claim 15 as amended. For example, the examiner states that element 720 of Seale is a microprocessor. In fact element 720 of Sale is a VCO and not a microprocessor. Also, the examiner refers us to col. 12, lines 37 - 64. It is not clear what portion of this passage the examiner is relying upon, or how this passage has anything to do with the invention as claimed. Clarification is necessary.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and re-examination are respectfully requested and claims 15 - 20 and 24 - 28 found allowable.

Date: March 12, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

Felia D'Ambrosio Attomey for Applicant

Registration Number 25,721

Customer Number *23364* **BACON & THOMAS, PLLC**

625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor Alexandria, Virginia 22314 Telephone: (703) 683-0500

Facsimile: (703) 683-1080

S:\Producer\fjd\CLIENTS\Endress+Hauser Holding GmbH\MULL3007 - EH0559\March 12 2008 Response.wpd